ORIGINAL PAPER

ZmGrp3: identification of a novel marker for root initiation in maize and development of a robust assay to quantify allele-specific contribution to gene expression in hybrids

Katrin Woll · Angela Dressel · Hajime Sakai · Hans-Peter Piepho · Frank Hochholdinger

Received: 1 February 2006 / Accepted: 31 July 2006 / Published online: 26 August 2006 Springer-Verlag 2006

Abstract This study comprises a comprehensive gene expression analysis of the root tip specific maize gene $ZmGrp3$. In the first part of this paper expression of ZmGrp3 was studied in maize inbred lines. First, RNA in situ hybridization experiments confined the expression of ZmGrp3 to the columella and the epidermis of all embryonic and postembryonic root types. Second, Northern-blot analyses of the maize root initiation mutants *rtcs* and *lrt1* revealed that the ZmGrp3 gene is not expressed prior to root initiation, thus providing a novel marker for this developmental process. Finally, a comprehensive expression profiling in 42 tissues via the Lynx MPSS system revealed almost exclusive expression of ZmGrp3 in maize roots. In the second part of this survey, ZmGrp3 expression was assayed in maize hybrids. In this context, a novel approach to quantify

Communicated by E: Guiderdoni

Electronic supplementary material Supplementary material is available in the online version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s00122-006-0384-1 and is accessible for authorized users.

K. Woll \cdot A. Dressel \cdot F. Hochholdinger (\boxtimes) Center for Plant Molecular Biology (ZMBP), Department of General Genetics, Eberhard-Karls-University Tuebingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 28, Tuebingen 72076, Germany e-mail: frank.hochholdinger@zmbp.uni-tuebingen.de

H. Sakai DuPont Crop Genetics Research, Wilmington, DE 19880-353, USA

H.-P. Piepho

Bioinformatics Unit, Institution for Crop Production and Grassland Research, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart 70599, Germany

allele-specific contribution to gene expression in maize hybrids was developed. This assay combines RT–PCR amplification of polymorphisms between two alleles and subsequent quantification of allele-specific gene expression via a combination of didesoxyterminator assays and capillary electrophoresis. Allelic expression of the ZmGrp3 gene in six reciprocal hybrids generated from three ZmGrp3 alleles was analyzed via a new statistical mixed model approach.

Genbank Accessions of ZmGrp3 alleles Allele

UH002: DQ267623 · Allele UH250: DQ267624 · Allele UH301: DQ267625

Introduction

The rootstock of maize is composed of embryonically preformed primary and seminal roots, an extensive postembryonic shoot-borne root system and a highly branched lateral root system present on all root-types (Hochholdinger et al. [2004a,](#page-9-0) [b](#page-9-0)). This complex root stock architecture provides a maximal absorbing surface and is a functional prerequisite for the mediation and regulation of nutrition and water uptake of the maize plant.

The genetic analysis of maize root formation recently revealed a number of mutants (Hochholdinger et al. [2004a,](#page-9-0) [b\)](#page-9-0) including lrt1 (Hochholdinger and Feix [1998a](#page-9-0)) and rtcs (Hetz et al. [1996\)](#page-9-0) that were affected prior to the initiation of lateral and shoot-borne roots, respectively. Despite the availability of a growing number of specific mutants affected in maize root development, little is known about the molecular basis of root formation in maize (Hochholdinger et al. [2005a\)](#page-9-0). The rth1 gene, which encodes for a homolog of the exocyst subunit sec3 which regulates root hair formation, is the first root mutant gene from maize that has been cloned in a forward genetic approach (Wen et al. [2005\)](#page-10-0). In addition, various high throughput screening techniques including microarray (Woll et al. [2005;](#page-10-0) Poroyko et al. [2005\)](#page-9-0) and proteomic (Hochholdinger et al. [2004c](#page-9-0), [2005b](#page-9-0); Sauer et al. [2006\)](#page-10-0) studies, but also traditional subtractive approaches, have identified genes that are differentially expressed between various genotypes or developmental stages of root formation. Among these, three root-specific glycine-rich, putative cell wall proteins have been cloned in maize and were designated ZmGrp3 (Goddemeier et al. [1998](#page-9-0)), ZmGrp4 (Matsuyama et al. [1999\)](#page-9-0) and Zm123A (Ponce et al. [2000\)](#page-9-0). These genes display no sequence homology; however, the proteins encoded by these genes are characterized by a high glycine content. Moreover, a few orthologous genes from maize known to be involved in Arabidopsis root formation such as scr (Lim et al. [2000](#page-9-0)) or aux1 (Hochholdinger et al. [2000\)](#page-9-0) have been cloned. Nevertheless, in maize only a few markers for specific developmental events in roots are available (e.g. Hochholdinger et al. [2000](#page-9-0); Lim et al. [2000;](#page-9-0) Zimmermann and Werr [2005](#page-10-0)).

Northern-blot or in situ hybridization experiments that are typically used to monitor gene expression cannot discriminate between the contributions of different alleles to the expression of a gene. Therefore, currently only little is known concerning allelic variation of gene expression at the transcript level in maize hybrids (Guo et al. [2004\)](#page-9-0). Such analyses will become more important in the future since allelic diversity is considered a crucial component for phenotypic variation (Buckler and Thornsberry [2002\)](#page-9-0) as observed in heterotic hybrid plants (Birchler et al. [2003](#page-9-0)). To our knowledge, only one study has been conducted in maize hybrids applying a combination of RT–PCR and WAVE dHPLC to discriminate between the allelic transcript levels in young unpollinated ears (Guo et al. [2004\)](#page-9-0).

This study represents a comprehensive gene expression analysis of the ZmGrp3 gene of maize. In the first part of this study, expression of the $ZmGrp3$ gene was analyzed in maize inbred lines. It was demonstrated that the $ZmGrp3$ gene is exclusively expressed in roots after their initiation and that it displays a tissue specific expression pattern that is restricted to the root tips, thus providing a novel marker for this developmental process. In the second part of the manuscript, expression of the ZmGrp3 gene was analyzed in maize hybrids. For this purpose a novel assay for the determination of allele-specific gene expression in combination with a rigorous data analysis tool was developed. This assay might be an interesting tool for future allele-specific gene expression studies of candidate genes related to the phenomenon of heterosis.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Experiments were conducted with the maize inbred line B73 that was developed from Iowa Stiff Stalk Synthetic (BSSS), the maize mutants *lrt1* (Hochhol-dinger and Feix [1998a](#page-9-0)) and *rtcs* (Hetz et al. [1996](#page-9-0)) and their homozygous wild-type siblings. For allelic variation studies of gene expression in maize hybrids, the German inbred line UH002 from the flint pool [National listing of plant varieties (NLPV), AC: M7830, European flint], the inbred lines UH250 (NLPV, AC: M9005, Iowa Stiff Stalk Synthetic) and UH301 (NLPV, AC: M8652, Iodent) from the dent pool and the six possible reciprocal hybrid combinations derived from these three parental inbred lines were used. These inbred lines were generated by the breeding program of the University of Hohenheim, Germany. Seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated in the paper roll test as previously described (Woll et al. [2005\)](#page-10-0) in beakers with tap water at 28° C, 60% humidity and 16 h light, 8 h dark regime. Plant material for the Northern-blot analyses of the various mutants was harvested 10 days after germination (DAG) when the phenotypes of the root mutations were unambiguously assessable. Plant material for allelic expression variation studies was harvested 3.5 DAG, hence before lateral root emergence.

Northern-blot analysis

RNA was extracted from several tissues using the RNeasy Plant Mini RNA extraction-kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Total RNA (20 µg) was electrophoretically separated on 1% formaldehyde agarose gels and blotted on a Hybond N^+ membrane (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK) with $20 \times SSC$ over night according to the manufacturer. The RNA was crosslinked to the membrane at 0.12 J/cm². Hybridization was performed at 50° C O/N in a buffer containing 55% formamide, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, $1 \times$ SSC, 0.1% Na-pyrophosphate, 1% SDS, 10% dextran sulfate and 200 lg/ml herring sperm DNA using a PCR amplified 418 bp probe of the $3'$ UTR of the $ZmGrp3$ gene (position 766–1,184 of Genbank AC Y07781) labeled by random priming. After hybridization the filter was washed in $1 \times$ SSC, 1% SDS at 50° C and $0.2 \times$ SSC at room temperature each for 30 min.

In situ hybridization experiments

Maize primary roots, coleoptilar nodes and embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 12 h at 4 $\rm ^{o}C$. After dehydration in a graded ethanol series and subsequent clearing in a graded histoclear series (National Diagnostics, Yorkshire, UK) the specimens were embedded in paraffin and $10-12 \mu m$ sections were prepared using a Leica RM2145 microtome (Leica, Jena, Germany). Samples were deparaffinized using histoclear and dehydrated in an ethanol series. Hybridization was performed with 166 bp digoxigeninlabeled RNA sense and antisense probes of the 3' UTR of ZmGrp3 (position 791–957 of Genbank AC Y07781) cloned into the pIS vector (Hochholdinger and Feix [1998b](#page-9-0)) in both orientations using the T7 primer for in vitro transcription according to the manufacturer (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany). After 2 h of prehybridization in $1 \times$ SPB buffer [50% formamide, $1.25\times$ salts (10 \times salts: 3 M NaCl, 0.1 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 0.1 ^M sodium phosphate, pH 6.8, 50 mM EDTA), 12.5% dextransulfate, 1.25 mg/ml tRNA, 0.625 mg/ml polyA⁺, 1.25× Denhardt's solution] hybridization took place at 45° C for about 16 h. Slides were washed afterwards $(5 \times$ SSC/50% formamide) and treated with RNaseA (10 μ g/ml) at 37°C for 30 min and washed several times with NTE (0.5 ^M NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA). The immunological detection was conducted by incubating the specimens with NBT/ BCIP according to the manufacturer. Samples were analyzed under a Zeiss-Axioskop HBO 100 W/2 microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and results were documented with an Olympus SC35, Type12 camera (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany).

Survey of massively parallel signature sequencing database (MPSS)

The Lynx–MPSS technology allows for the quantification of 17 bp sequences in populations of 2×10^5 to 2×10^6 cDNAs. These 17 bp signature sequences almost always correspond to unique cDNAs, thus allowing for the quantification of the abundance of a particular cDNA in a sample representing a particular organ and developmental stage in a defined genetic background (Christensen et al. [2003](#page-9-0)). In our experiments we surveyed gene expression in B73 background.

Chromosomal mapping of the ZmGrp3 with oat–maize addition lines

Genomic DNA of oat–maize addition (OMA) lines obtained as a gift from Ron Phillips (University of Minnesota) were used as described in Kynast et al. (2001) (2001) to map the ZmGrp3 gene to a maize chromosome. A standard PCR with the primers ZmGrp3-fw: 5'AACTCGCTCACTGGCAAT 3' and ZmGrp3-rv: 5¢ AGCTAGTCAATAGTCACATTCAA 3¢ at an annealing temperature of 60° C was conducted with Thermo Taq Polymerase (ABgene).

Determination of allelic contribution to gene expression in maize hybrids

Genomic DNA of six different hybrids (UH002 \times UH250, UH250 \times UH002, UH301 \times UH002, UH002 \times UH301, UH250 \times UH301 and UH301 \times UH250) was extracted according to a CTAB protocol (Saghai-Maroof et al. [1984](#page-9-0)), total RNA of roots 3.5 DAG was isolated with the Qiagen RNeasy Plant Mini RNA extraction kit (Qiagen). Genomic DNA was removed from RNA preparations with the RNase free DNase set (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized with superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to the manufacturer. Sequence polymorphisms of the ZmGrp3 gene between the different inbred lines were detected by PCR amplification, sequencing and alignment of at least four genomic ZmGrp3 sequences isolated from independent plant samples for each of the three inbred lines. Full-length cDNA clones of the ZmGrp3 alleles UH002 (Genbank AC: DQ267623), UH250 (Genbank AC: DQ267624) and UH301 (Genbank AC: DQ267625) were generated via 5' and 3' RACE experiments with the Generacer kit (Invitrogen) as described by the manufacturer.

Detection of allele-specific gene expression was achieved in a two-step process. First, a 299 bp region of the $3'$ UTR of the $ZmGrp3$ gene which contained polymorphisms that allow for the discrimination between the three different inbred lines was amplified from cDNA via PCR (Primers: Grp-5': 5' TGATGC-TACCGGTGGTG 3'; Grp-3': 5' GACTGACACT AACGCCGAG 3') with Thermo Taq Polymerase (ABgene, Epsom, UK) at an annealing temperature of 60° C in 30 cycles. These primers annealed in conserved regions of the $ZmGrp3$ gene, thus allowing for amplification of all three alleles of the ZmGrp3 gene analyzed in this study. Therefore, amplification of each of the two alleles in a hybrid was expected to correlate with the abundance of its transcripts. The number of 30

cycles was previously determined to ensure that the amplification is below the saturation level of the PCR reaction. Single band PCR products which resulted from this reaction and which contained polymorphisms between the different inbred lines were then subjected to a didesoxyterminator based quantification of the allelic contribution to gene expression using the ABI Prism $SNaPshot^{TM}$ Multiplex Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer. The primers SNP1: 5' GATCCGGACCCAGAGCC 3' and SNP2: 5' ACTAACGCCGAGCGCTCC 3' were selected because the adjacent nucleotide following the 3¢ end of these primers was polymorphic in the three UH alleles analyzed in this study. Thus, the SNP primers were extended by only one nucleotide, which corresponded to the two respective alleles in the hybrid. A ddATP was added to the 3' end of primer SNP1 in alleles UH002 and UH250, while a ddGTP was added to the $3'$ end of this primer in allele UH301. Therefore, primer SNP1 allowed for the discrimination between the ZmGrp3 alleles in the four hybrids: UH301 \times UH250, UH250 \times UH301, UH002 \times UH301 and UH301 \times UH002. A ddGTP was added at the 3' end of primer SNP2 during primer extension in allele UH002 while a ddATP was added to the 3' end of SNP2 in allele UH250. Thus, primer SNP2 was used to distinguish between the two $ZmGrp3$ alleles in the hybrids UH002 \times UH250 and UH250 \times UH002. The ddNTPs were fluorescently labeled and could therefore be visualized in an ABI 310 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The peak areas of the two alleles in a hybrid which represented their relative expression levels were quantified after sequencing using Image Pro-Express software (Media Cybernetics Inc., Silver Spring, MD, USA) and their ratios were determined. For each hybrid, two independent RNA samples were isolated. Each biological RNA replicate was then converted in three independent reactions into cDNA. Thus, for each hybrid six cDNAs were analyzed. To exclude dye effects, three independent biological replicates of genomic DNA for each of the six hybrids were isolated and subjected to the same PCR amplification (26 cycles) including subsequent allelic expression quantification procedure as the corresponding RNA/ cDNA samples. Per definition genomic DNA of each of the two alleles should be present in equal amounts in heterozygous diploid hybrids for nuclear genes. The actually measured ratio of the genomic DNA of the two alleles in a hybrid was used as a correction factor for the cDNA samples to quantify accurate allelic expression ratios of the $ZmGrp3$ gene in the hybrids.

Statistical analysis of allele-specific gene expression analysis

RNA and genomic DNA measurements were logarithmically transformed prior to analysis. For the RNA data, we fitted a mixed model of the form

$y_{iajk} = \mu_{ia} + r_{iaj} + e_{iajk}$

where y_{iajk} is the log-transformed value of the *a*th allele in the ith hybrid as recorded for the jth biological replicate and kth RNA sub-sample, μ_{ia} is the mean of the ath allele in the *i*th hybrid, r_{iaj} is the random effect of the jth biological replicate for the ith hybrid and ath allele and e_{iajk} is a random sub-sampling effect corresponding to y_{iajk} . Random effects r_{iaj} of two different alleles on the same biological replicate were assumed to have the compound symmetry variance–covariance structure. Random effects e_{iaik} of two different alleles on the same RNA sub-sample were assumed to have the compound symmetry variance–covariance structure.

For the genomic DNA data we fitted the model

 $x_{iaj} = \phi_{ia} + r_{iaj}$

where x_{iai} is the log-transformed value of the *a*th allele in the ith hybrid as recorded for the jth biological replicate, ϕ_{ia} is the mean of the *a*th allele in the *i*th hybrid, r_{iaj} is the random effect of the *j*th replicate for the *i*th hybrid and *a*th allele. Random effects r_{ini} of two different alleles on the same biological replicate were assumed to have the compound symmetry variance– covariance structure.

The RNA data and genomic DNA data were analyzed jointly using an additional factor identifying the two types of data. The variance–covariance structures were assumed heterogeneous for the two types of data. The random effect r_{iaj} for the RNA data was fitted by using a suitable dummy variable. The joint analysis yielded mean estimates on the log-scale. Assuming normality, these can be interpreted as median estimates. Back-transformation yielded estimates of the median on the original scale (Connolly and Wachendorf [2001\)](#page-9-0). The median estimates were used to estimate

Normalized ratio

$$
=\frac{Median(RNA - allele1)/Median(RNA - allele2)}{Median(DNA - allele1)/Median(DNA - allele2)}.
$$

On the log-scale, this corresponds to the linear contrast

 $L = \mu_{i1} - \mu_{i2} - \phi_{i1} + \phi_{i2}$

where $a = 1$ for the allele1 channel and $a = 2$ for the allele2 channel. This contrast was tested based on the fitted mixed linear model on the log-scale using the method of Kenward and Roger [\(1997](#page-9-0)).

Results

In situ hybridization experiments confine ZmGrp3 expression to the columella and the epidermis of root tips

Northern-blot analyses demonstrated the expression of the ZmGrp3 gene in all tested root-types (Goddemeier et al. [1998\)](#page-9-0). However, these analyses did not define the tissue specific expression pattern of ZmGrp3. Thus, in situ hybridization experiments with a specific DIG-labeled 166 bp RNA probe from the 3' UTR of $ZmGrp3$ were performed to localize the exact cell-type specific expression pattern of ZmGrp3 in different root-types of the maize inbred line B73. Hybridization signals were observed in all analyzed root-types including primary roots 7 days after germination (Fig. 1a), the embryonic radicle 30 days after pollination (Fig. 1b, c), postembryonically formed lateral roots in 6 days old primary roots (Fig. 1d–f) and shoot-borne crown roots 5 (Fig. 1g, i) and 7 DAG (Fig. 1h). Hence, $ZmGrp3$ is active in all roots irrespective of their embryonic or postembryonic descent. The expression pattern of the ZmGrp3 gene is similar in all analyzed root-types with a strong expression in the epidermis of the root tips and weak expression in the columella of these roots. Thus, the ZmGrp3 represents a cell-type specific marker of gene expression in root tips.

ZmGrp3 is expressed only after root initiation

The root initiation mutants *rtcs* (Hetz et al. [1996](#page-9-0)) and lrt1 (Hochholdinger and Feix [1998a](#page-9-0)) were used to study the temporal expression pattern of $ZmGrp3$ in roots. Both mutants are affected before the initiation, i.e. the first cell division of the respective roots. While the mutant rtcs is devoid of shoot-borne root initiation, the mutant lrt1 does not initiate lateral roots on the primary root. Northern-blot experiments demonstrated that the $ZmGrp3$ was solely expressed in primary and crown roots but not in leaves, mesocotyl and young unpollinated ears of the inbred line B73 (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0). In

B73 with a DIG-labeled ZmGrp3 RNA probe. a Primary root tip, 7 DAG, antisense probe. b–c Embryo longitudinal section 30 DAP, antisense probe at different magnifications. d–f Lateral root emerging from a primary root 6 DAG. d Cross-section, antisense probe. e Longitudinal section, antisense probe. f Longitudinal section, sense probe. g–i Crown root emerging from a coleoptilar node, cross-section. g Antisense probe 5 DAG. h Antisense probe 7 DAG. i Sense probe 5 DAG. DAP days after pollination, DAG days after germination, ep epidermis, col columella. Bars = $300 \mu m$ in **a**, **d–f**, **h** and i, 30 μ m in **b** and **g**, 10 μ m in c

Fig. 1 In situ hybridization of roots of the maize inbred line

Fig. 2 Northern-blot hybridization with ZmGrp3 RNA probe. Expression pattern in different tissues of wild-type (B73) and the root mutants rtcs and lrt1. WT wild-type, PR primary root, CR coleoptilar node, L leaf, MC mesocotyl (all 10 DAG), E immature ear, shortly before flowering. Numbers in the right

part of the panel below WT and *lrt1* indicate the longitudinal parts of the primary root from the root tip (in cm). Ethidium bromide stained 26S rRNA bands are given below each blot as a loading control

order to analyze the longitudinal expression of ZmGrp3 and to investigate if the gene was already expressed prior to lateral root initiation, RNA of the mutant *lrt1* and its corresponding homozygous wildtype was isolated from consecutive 2–4 cm sections of 10 days old primary roots (Fig. 2). At this developmental stage, lateral roots were already visible in wildtype primary roots while no lateral roots were initiated in the mutant lrt1. Sections 0–2 cm represent the root tip region. In wild-type primary roots hybridization signals were found in all sections along the primary root with increasing signal intensity towards the more differentiated root zone, which displayed the highest number of lateral roots. In the mutant *lrt1*, a signal was only detected in the tip of the primary root but not in the subsequent parts of the primary root. Since the mutant lrt1 does not initiate lateral roots this indicates that ZmGrp3 is only expressed after the initiation of this root-type. Similarly, RNA was isolated from coleoptilar nodes of the mutant rtcs, which does not initiate any shoot-borne roots, and its corresponding wild-type siblings 10 DAG. ZmGrp3 expression was detected in wild-type coleoptilar nodes, but not in coleoptilar nodes of the mutant rtcs (Fig. 2). These results indicate that ZmGrp3 expression can only be detected after the initiation of lateral and crown-roots.

Comprehensive gene expression study of the ZmGrp3 gene via the Lynx MPPS system confines expression almost exclusively to roots

Northern-blot analyses (Fig. 2) indicated that $ZmGrp3$ is preferentially expressed in roots of the inbred line B73. To gain a comprehensive insight into the spatial and temporal expression of ZmGrp3 the massively parallel signature sequencing database (MPSS) at DuPont (Wilmington, DE, USA) was surveyed (methods). MPSS profiling is based on the sequencing and deposition of approximately $10⁶$ short signature sequences per cDNA library (Brenner et al. [2000\)](#page-9-0). Thus, MPSS databases allow for the sensitive detection of gene expression in a wide variety of tissues and developmental stages. The abundance of the ZmGrp3 transcript was quantified in 42 cDNA libraries, which were all obtained from B73 plants. This extensive gene expression study confirmed that ZmGrp3 is almost exclusively expressed in root tissues. The relative expression level of $ZmGrp3$ is indicated by the number of ZmGrp3 transcripts among one million transcripts in a defined tissue (ppm). ZmGrp3 was expressed in root tissues in the range of approximately 50–700 ppm including embryonic roots older than 21 days after pollination. The highest expression in non-root tissue was detected in the coleoptilar node which, however, already started to initiate shoot-borne roots 14 DAG. Only residual (<30 ppm) or no expression was detected in all other non-root tissues (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)) indicating a very specific expression of $ZmGrp3$ in maize roots. For the classification of the developmental stages of maize please refer to: http://www.extension.iastate.edu/pages/ hancock/agriculture/corn/corn_develop

The ZmGrp3 gene maps to chromosome 5

Oat–maize addition lines are a valuable tool to map genes to one of the ten maize chromosomes. These lines carry one pair of homologous chromosomes of maize added to the entire chromosome complement of hexaploid oats in a doubled haploid, i.e. a 100% homozygous condition (Kynast et al. [2001\)](#page-9-0). PCR amplification of the $ZmGrp3$ gene with genomic DNA isolated from the oat and maize parental control lines and the ten different oat–maize addition lines as template mapped the $ZmGrp3$ gene to maize chromosome 5 (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)).

Development of a novel assay to determine allelic contribution to ZmGrp3 expression in various maize hybrids

In inbred lines of maize two identical alleles of a gene are transcribed. In contrast, in F_1 -hybrids most genes

Fig. 3 Relative expression levels (in ppm) of the ZmGrp3 gene in different B73 tissues and developmental stages obtained via the Lynx–MPSS system. VE, V2–V12 vegetative stages of maize development. VE germination and emergence stage, V2–V12

developmental stages according to the number of leaves, DAP days after pollination, DAG days after germination, SAM shoot apical meristem, pp post pollination

are represented by two distinct alleles that could be distinctly transcribed. Thus, in order to complement the expression data of $ZmGrp3$ obtained via in situ hybridization, Northern-blot and MPSS experiments in inbred lines, allele-specific contribution to the expression of $ZmGrp3$ in maize hybrids were quantified. Currently only little is known about the allelic contribution to gene expression in maize hybrids (Guo et al. [2004\)](#page-9-0). Therefore, a novel approach that allows for the quantification of allelic contribution to gene expression was developed (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)). This approach combines the RT–PCR amplification of a polymorphic region in cDNA of maize hybrids and the subsequent quantification of the transcripts of two alleles in a didesoxyterminator assay combined with capillary electrophoresis. ZmGrp3 specific oligonucleotide primers for RT–PCR were designed in conserved regions of the gene that flanked polymorphisms between the different analyzed inbred lines. This secured the amplification of both alleles in a hybrid according to their actual expression ratio. The $ZmGrp3$ specific oligonucleotides were tested on cDNA and genomic DNA of inbred lines and hybrids. As expected, amplification of inbred line DNA yielded one peak in capillary electrophoresis (data not shown), while amplification of hybrid DNA always resulted in two peaks representing the two different alleles of a gene in a hybrid. We chose 3.5-day-old primary roots germinated under standardized conditions in distilled water of six reciprocal hybrids, UH002 \times UH250, UH250 \times UH002, UH002 \times UH301, UH301 \times UH002, UH250 \times UH310 and UH301 \times UH250, that were generated from the German inbred lines UH002, UH250 and UH301. At this early developmental stage the primary root has not yet initiated lateral roots hence confining expression of the $ZmGrp3$ gene to the primary root tip which is histologically similar between the different hybrids (Hoecker, personal communication). Per

Chromosome
5 6 7 8 9 M S60 Stl SII Gaf - B73 M 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 K-

Fig. 4 Chromosomal mapping of the ZmGrp3 gene via oatmaize addition lines. Left panel Control reactions. ZmGrp3 PCR amplification product is present in the parental maize line Seneca 60, and the control inbred line B73. Right panel PCR amplification product is only present in oat–maize addition line where chromosome 5 of maize was subjoined. M 100 bp plus ladder (Fermentas), S60 Seneca 60 (maize parent line), StI Starter I, SII Sun II, Gaf Gaf Park (all parental oat lines), K– water control, 1– 10 oat–maize addition lines of the respective maize chromosomes

definition DNA of both alleles of a nuclear encoded gene is present in equal quantities in the genome. Therefore, genomic DNA was used as a standard to calibrate the allele-specific gene expression data (methods) and to eliminate dye effects caused by the different fluorescent labeling of the four ddNTPs. That ZmGrp3 is most likely a nuclear encoded single copy gene has been demonstrated by mapping the gene on chromosome 5. The reliability of the genomic DNA ratios for calibrating the cDNA expression data was demonstrated by low CVs (%) in three independent biological replicates (online Supplement S1). Allelespecific expression data was generated from two independently isolated RNA samples for each hybrid and subsequent synthesis of three independent cDNA samples for each of the two RNA samples. The ratio of the two $ZmGrp3$ alleles in the different hybrids determined in 3.5 days old maize seedling roots ranged between 0.9 and 1.38 (Table [1](#page-8-0)). For statistical data analysis we suggest a mixed model approach that accounts for various additive effects (methods). Data analysis revealed that the ratio of the two alleles was not significantly different from the 1:1 ratio in any of the analyzed hybrids indicating equal contribution of the two alleles to gene expression (Table [1\)](#page-8-0). The most deviant ratio (1.38) was found for UH250 \times UH002, with an associated P value of 0.[1](#page-8-0)9 (Table 1).

Discussion

Despite the availability of a growing number of specific mutants affected in maize root development (Wen and Schnable [1994](#page-10-0); Hetz et al. [1996](#page-9-0); Hochholdinger and Feix [1998a;](#page-9-0) Hochholdinger et al. [2001;](#page-9-0) Woll et al. [2005](#page-10-0)), little is known about the molecular basis of root formation in maize. Thus far only one gene that leads to a specific root mutant phenotype when disrupted has been cloned (Wen et al. [2005\)](#page-10-0) and a number of genes and proteins differentially expressed in various root genotypes or developmental stages have been identified (e.g. Woll et al. [2005](#page-10-0); Hochholdinger et al. [2004c,](#page-9-0)

Hybrid	Normalized ratio allele A:allele B	95% Confidence limits		df^{a}	P value ^{a,b}
		Lower	Upper		
UH002 \times UH301	1.22	0.73	2.02	8.47	0.40
UH301 \times UH002	1.14	0.69	1.89	8.47	0.57
UH250 \times UH301	1.11	0.67	1.85	8.47	0.64
UH301 \times UH250	0.90	0.54	1.50	8.47	0.66
UH002 \times UH250	1.07	0.64	1.77	8.47	0.78
UH250 \times UH002	1.38	0.83	2.29	8.47	0.19

Table 1 Estimates of normalized ratio of the relative contribution of different alleles to the expression of $ZmGrp3$ (original scale) in maize hybrids and test of null hypothesis ratio equals unity

^a The Kenward–Roger method was used to approximate the degree of freedom (df)

^b Test of H₀₁: ratio = 1 on original scale based on test of H₀₂: $\mu_{i1} - \mu_{i2} - \phi_{i1} + \phi_{i2} = 0$ on log-scale

[2005b](#page-9-0); Sauer et al. [2006\)](#page-10-0). Recently, three root-specific glycine-rich, putative cell wall proteins have been cloned in maize and were designated ZmGrp3 (Goddemeier et al. [1998\)](#page-9-0), ZmGrp4 (Matsuyama et al. [1999](#page-9-0)) and Zm123A (Ponce et al. [2000\)](#page-9-0). While in situ hybridization experiments demonstrated that ZmGrp4 (Matsuyama et al. [1999](#page-9-0)) and Zm123A (Ponce et al. [2000\)](#page-9-0) are strongly expressed in the lateral root cap and weakly in the root epidermis, in situ hybridization experiments in this study revealed that ZmGrp3 also shows specific expression in the epidermis of the root tip and the columella. However, in contrast to ZmGrp4 (Matsuyama et al. 1999) and $Zm123A$ (Ponce et al. 2000), the $ZmGrp3$ gene is strongly expressed in the epidermis and only weakly in the columella. The complementary expression patterns of ZmGrp4 and Zm123A versus ZmGrp3 might imply a subtle cooperative action of these glycine-rich proteins in the development of the maize root tip. In maize so far only a few markers for specific developmental events in roots are available (e.g. Hochholdinger et al. [2000](#page-9-0); Lim et al. [2000](#page-9-0); Zimmermann and Werr [2005\)](#page-10-0). Thus, ZmGrp3, which is expressed only after the initiation of root primordia as demonstrated with the lateral and shoot-borne root initiation mutants *lrt1* (Hochhol-dinger and Feix [1998a\)](#page-9-0) and *rtcs* (Hetz et al. [1996\)](#page-9-0) is a novel marker for root initiation in maize. Interestingly, the ZmGrp3 gene not only reveals a root tip specific expression pattern, but its expression is almost exclusively confined to roots as confirmed via Lynx-MPSS analyses. Thus, the promoter of ZmGrp3 could be a valuable vehicle for the specific expression of genes of agronomic relevance in roots. Allele-specific analysis of gene expression in maize became available only recently. Guo et al. ([2004\)](#page-9-0) introduced a novel approach that combined RT–PCR with WAVE dHPLC chromatography. Since WAVE dHPLC is not available in every institution we suggest an alternative approach that is based on an allele-specific didesoxyterminator assay and subsequent transcript quantification via a capillary sequencer. The accuracy of this assay was tested by analyzing the allelic ratio of genomic DNA of hybrids in which both alleles of the nuclear ZmGrp3 gene should be present in equimolar amounts. Since dye effects of the fluorescent ddNTP labels cannot be excluded, the ratios obtained from genomic DNA were used to calibrate the allelic ratios of the $ZmGrp3$ transcripts. The robustness of this calibration approach was demonstrated by low CV $(\%)$ values in three independent biological replicates (see online Supplement S1). We introduced a mixed model data analysis approach which considered all relevant error effects on gene expression. This is important since simple t testing tends to overestimate expression differences which do not reflect actual biological differences but rather natural variation. Specifically, it is necessary to account for errors in estimates of the normalized genomic DNA ratio. For example, the combination UH250 \times UH002 with an allelic ratio of 1.38 was significantly different from 1 in a simple t test ($P < 0.05$), while no allelic gene expression difference was detected when analyzing this dataset with our mixed model approach. Techniques that allow for the quantification of allelespecific gene expression differences will become more important in the future since allelic diversity is a crucial component for phenotypic variation (Buckler and Thornsberry [2002](#page-9-0)). The phenomenon of heterosis which describes the superior yield or vigor of highly heterozygous hybrid plants over homozygous plants can also be attributed to gene expression differences caused by the presence of different alleles in many loci of the maize plant (Birchler et al. [2003](#page-9-0)). Maize, in particular, is a suitable model for such analyses since it displays a high degree of heterosis and also a high degree of sequence polymorphisms between different genetic backgrounds (Buckler and Thornsberry [2002\)](#page-9-0). Recently, it has been demonstrated that the phenomenon of heterosis can already be detected during early

root development in maize hybrids (Hoecker et al. 2006). Thus, the assay developed in this study for the detection of allele-specific gene expression might be helpful in the future to analyze candidate genes for heterosis. Mapping of the ZmGrp3 gene to chromosome 5 and thus the demonstration that it is a nuclear encoded gene was instrumental since one prerequisite for using genomic DNA for calibration purposes was that both alleles of ZmGrp3 were present in a 1:1 ratio. Further, fine mapping of ZmGrp3 on chromosome 5 might help to correlate this gene with root related QTLs that become more and more important to dissect root traits of maize that are controlled by various interacting loci (Salvi and Tuberosa [2005\)](#page-10-0).

In summary, ZmGrp3 represents a novel root tip specific marker of root initiation in maize which will be helpful for the further molecular dissection of root development in maize. Moreover, almost exclusive expression of ZmGrp3 in roots makes its promoter a potential vehicle for the specific expression of transgenes with agronomic relevance in roots. Last but not the least, a novel approach to quantify allele-specific gene expression is presented that might be helpful for the allele-specific gene expression analysis of candidate genes that are related to the phenomenon of heterosis.

Acknowledgment We thank Dr. Ron Phillips (University of Minnesota) for the gift of genomic DNA of oat–maize addition lines. Work on this project in F.H.'s and H.-P.P.'s laboratories was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) framework program SPP1149 ''heterosis in plants''. Root research in F.H.'s laboratory is supported by the DFG grant HO2249/4, and the project B16 of the Sonderforschungsbereich 446 ''cell behavior in eukaryotes''.

References

- Birchler JA, Auger DL, Riddle NC (2003) In search of the molecular basis of heterosis. Plant Cell 15:2236–2239
- Brenner S, Johnson M, Bridgham J, Golda G, Lloyd DH et al. (2000) Gene expression analysis by massively parallel signature sequencing (MPSS) on microbead arrays. Nat Biotechnol 18:630–634
- Buckler ES, Thornsberry JM (2002) Plant molecular diversity and applications to genomics. Curr Opin Plant Biol 5:107– 111
- Christensen TM, Veijlupkova Z, Sharma YK, Arthur KM, Spatafora JW et al (2003) Conserved subgroups and developmental regulation in the monocot rop gene family. Plant Physiol 133:1791–1808
- Connolly J, Wachendorf M (2001) Developing multisite dynamic models of mixed species plant communities. Ann Bot 88:703–712
- Goddemeier ML, Wulff D, Feix G (1998) Root-specific expression of a Zea mays gene encoding a novel glycine-rich protein, grp3. Plant Mol Biol 36:799–802
- Guo M, Rupe M, Zinselmeier C, Habben J, Bowen BA, Smith OS (2004) Allelic variation of gene expression in maize hybrids. Plant Cell 16:1707–1716
- Hetz W, Hochholdinger F, Schwall M, Feix G (1996) Isolation and characterisation of *rtcs* a mutant deficient in the formation of nodal roots. Plant J 10:845–857
- Hochholdinger F, Feix G (1998a) Early post-embryonic root formation is specifically affected in the maize mutant lrt1. Plant J 16:247–255
- Hochholdinger F, Feix G (1998b) Cyclin expression is completely suppressed at the site of crown root formation in the nodal region of the maize root mutant rtcs. J Plant Physiol 153:425–429
- Hochholdinger F, Wulff D, Reuter K, Park WJ, Feix G (2000) Tissue specific expression of AUX1 in maize roots. J Plant Physiol 157:315–319
- Hochholdinger F, Park WJ, Feix G (2001) Cooperative action of SLR1 and SLR2 is required for lateral root-specific cellelongation in maize. Plant Physiol 125:1529–1539
- Hochholdinger F, Park WJ, Sauer M, Woll K (2004a) From weeds to crops: genetic analysis of root development in cereals. Trends Plant Sci 9:42–48
- Hochholdinger F, Woll K, Sauer M Dembinsky D (2004b) Genetic dissection of root formation in maize (Zea mays) reveals root-type specific developmental programs. Ann Bot 93:359–368
- Hochholdinger F, Guo L, Schnable PS (2004c) Lateral roots affect the proteome of the primary root of maize (Zea mays L.). Plant Mol Biol 56:397–412
- Hochholdinger F, Woll K, Sauer M, Feix G (2005a) Functional genomic tools in support of the genetic analysis of root development in maize (Zea mays L.). 50th anniversary edition of Maydica (in press)
- Hochholdinger F, Woll K, Guo L, Schnable PS (2005b) Analysis of the soluble proteome of maize (Zea mays L.) primary roots reveals drastic changes in protein composition during early development. Proteomics 18:4885–4893
- Hoecker N, Keller B, Piepho H-P, Hochholdinger F (2006) Manifestation of heterosis during early maize (Zea mays L.) root development. Theor Appl Genet 112:421–429
- Kenward MG, Roger JH (1997) Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. Biometrics 53:983–997
- Kynast RG, Riera-Lizarazu O, Vales MI, Okagaki RJ, Maquieira SB et al (2001) A complete set of maize individual chromosome additions to the oat genome. Plant Physiol 125:1216–1227
- Lim J, Helariutta Y, Specht CD, Jung J, Sims L et al (2000) Molecular analysis of the SCARECROW gene in maize reveals a common basis for radial patterning in diverse meristems. Plant Cell 12:1307–1318
- Matsuyama T, Satoh H, Yamada Y, Hashimoto T (1999) A maize glycine-rich protein is synthesized in the lateral root cap and accumulates in the mucilage. Plant Physiol 120:665–674
- Ponce G, Lujan R, Campos WE, Reyes A, Nieto-Sotelo J et al (2000) Three maize root-specific genes are not correctly expressed in regenerated caps in the absence of the quiescent center. Planta 211:23–33
- Poroyko V, Hejlek LG, Spollen WG, Springer GK, Nguyen HT et al (2005) The maize root transcriptome by serial analysis of gene expression. Plant Physiol 138:1700–1710
- Saghai-Maroof MA, Soliman KM, Jorgensen RA, Allard RW (1984) Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphisms in barley: mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location, and population dynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81:8014– 8018
- Salvi S, Tuberosa R (2005) To clone or not to clone plant QTLs: present and future challenges. Trends Plant Sci 10:297–304
- Sauer M, Jakob A, Nordheim A, Hochholdinger F (2006) Proteomic analysis of shoot-borne root initiation in maize (Zea mays L.). Proteomics 6:2530–2541
- Wen TJ, Schnable PS (1994) Analyses of mutants of three genes that influence root hair development in Zea mays (Gramineae) suggest that root hairs are dispensable. Am J Bot 81:833–842
- Wen TJ, Hochholdinger F, Sauer M, Bruce W, Schnable PS (2005) The roothairless1 gene of maize (Zea mays) encodes

a homolog of sec3, which is involved in polar exocytosis. Plant Physiol 138:1637–1643

- Woll K, Borsuk L, Stransky H, Nettleton D, Schnable PS, Hochholdinger F (2005) Isolation, characterization and pericycle specific transcriptome analyses of the novel maize (Zea mays L.) lateral and seminal root initiation mutant rum1. Plant Physiol 139:1255–1267
- Zimmermann R, Werr W (2005) Pattern formation in the monocot embryo as revealed by NAM and CUC3 orthologues from Zea mays L. Plant Mol Biol 58:669–685